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ABSTRACT
There are hundreds of social network sites. Millions of people are registered. They offer the opportunity to create a profile and then create links with other members. They also allow to post messages, articles, photographs, etc. All this leaves traces in the databases of these sites, which is not always without consequence. It is precisely this knowledge that this master thesis is looking through a knowledge representation, i.e. an ontology.

We mark the importance of taking into account the social aspect in the Semantic Web, and we show that these two approaches are complementary.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The arrival of the Web and related technologies, has confirmed the need to provide interactive tools to share information. Many systems exist for sharing information. This goes to the very advanced web tools for collaborative work. However, this is possible with the latter is no longer using the Web. These tools aim a very small group of people, often working together with a common vocabulary on similar themes and therefore with specific habits group. In this context, methods and tools have been proposed to understand, manipulate, and share documents and develop relevant services. The annotation of documents, especially semantic annotation based on ontologies, currently seems the most promising approach to share and use information on the Web.

2. OUR CONTRIBUTION
Recent years have seen the rise of two visions of the web: on one side the Semantic Web, which offers unified representation formalisms with a view to understanding and exchange data across the Web, the other Social Web (or Web 2.0) more pragmatic vision that emphasizes the central role of the user in the process of content production. Thus, we present the one hand the interests of the formalisms of the Semantic Web (RDF, RDFS, OWL, SPARQL) for representing and querying data from Web 2.0 applications.

3. Towards a Web 3.0
The last years have shown immense undertakings for the definition of the foundational standards supporting data interchange. A number of Semantic Web technologies have attained broad deployment.

In a most helpful starting point, the Semantic Web attempts to make social websites interoperable by providing standards to support data interchange between applications, empowering individuals and communities to partake in the construction of shared interoperable information. This adaption of the Semantic Web to the Social Web gives rise to either a social Semantic Web.

Fig1: Development of the web 3.0
4. ONTOLOGICAL ENGINEERING

As part of our work, we opted for the MENTHONTLOY method [2], [3], especially the steps Support activities and technical activities.

4.1 Specifications

In the specification phase of the ontology, it used the techniques proposed for the launch phase of the methodology On-To-Knowledge [4], which is to get the first list of terms and relationships through discussions meeting with experts. Several sources were used in the acquisition of knowledge of the process of developing ontologies. The purpose of using multiple sources is to allow different stakeholders of the IT department to have a common conceptual vocabulary that allows them to share collaboratively the computer knowledge and communicate easily. The information will be presented from the following source: Technical Document - related areas of social networks and semantics site.

Accordingly, the acquisition process, the most important of all the sources of information identified terms in the French language currently used in Algeria. A sample of the terms listed in table 1 below.

Table 1. A sample of terms list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terms</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personne</td>
<td>Est un humain qui intervient dans le département informatique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Un document est généralement défini comme le support physique d'une information. Plus précisément on peut le définir comme un ensemble de données informatives présentes sur un support, sous une forme permanente et lisible par l'homme ou par une machine (permanent par opposition à volatil).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groupe</td>
<td>Réunion d'un certain nombre de personnes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projet</td>
<td>Projet un ensemble finalisé d’activités et d’actions entreprises dans le but de répondre à un besoin défini dans des délais fixés et dans la limite d'une enveloppe budgétaire allouée.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commentaire</td>
<td>Suite de notes et remarques sur une publication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Conceptualization:

4.2.1 Construction dictionary of concepts:

Knowledge relate to items that are covered by concepts. A concept can be a physical object, a concept, an idea [5]. Refining the glossary of terms presented above, we now construct a dictionary of concepts (classes). To ensure greater flexibility of our ontology, we have designated certain conditions longer synonymous concepts to manage in a simple way [6]. Table 2 shows an excerpt from the list of concepts.

Table 2. Extract from the list of concepts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personne</td>
<td>Personne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image</td>
<td>image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texte</td>
<td>texte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projet</td>
<td>projet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groupe</td>
<td>groupe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commentaire</td>
<td>commentaire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 Taxonomy of Concepts

There are a number of possible approaches to develop a class hierarchy. [7] It is followed a combination of both approaches, from top to bottom and from bottom to top. At first, the most salient concepts are defined in the previous section, and then they are specialized or generalized, depending on the case. It could start with some high-level concepts such as bond “Text in French” and some specific concepts, such as “Comment “. Then, the inverse method, a generalization of the notion, for example, the general concepts of “Text” and “Image” is “Document”.

![Fig2: Extract from the taxonomy](image)

4.2.3 Description of Object Properties

We have already selected classes from the list of terms that it created during the acquisition of knowledge in the specification phase. Most of the remaining terms are likely to object properties or DataType these classes. Figure 2 shows an excerpt from the list of properties of the object.

![Fig2: Extract from the taxonomy](image)
Fig 3: Extract from list of Object Properties

Each property must be set the domain and Features property is transitive, symmetric, functional or Inverse Functional. Figure 3 below shows an example of the Object property is a network of “agroupe” link between the person “Person” (domain) and the group “Group” (range) with characteristic Functional.

Fig 4: Domain and Range and Property Characteristics of the Object Property “agroupe”

4.2.4 DataType

After defining the classes and Their Object Properties of this ontology, it must describe the internal structure of classes. An excerpt from the list of properties is shown in Fig 4 DataType.

3.2.5 Individuals

The last step is to create instances of classes in the hierarchy. Defining an individual instance of a class requires 1) choose a class, 2) the creation of a single instance of this class, and 3) between the values of properties. For example Sihem, salah, Asma, Amina are individuals of the class of person “means a person,” each person is required for DATATYPE as values “name”, “address”, “Website” An excerpt from the list of individuals is shown in Figure 5

Fig 5: Extract from the list of DataType

Fig 6: Extract from the list of Individuals
4.3 Formalization and implementation

One of the major decisions in the process of developing ontologies is to choose the language of ontology representation. Our ontology is designed for use by applications that need to process the content of information instead of just presenting information to humans. For this reason the developed ontology is implemented using Web Ontology Language (OWL) [8] which facilitates greater machine interpretability of Web content than that supported by XML, RDF, and RDF Schema (RDF-S) by providing additional vocabulary along with a formal semantics. OWL

Another reason is the most recent development in standard ontology languages, endorsed and recommended by the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) to promote the vision of the Semantic Web. We build the ontology using Protégé-3.4.4 as Ontology editing environments. Protege3.4.4 (http://protege.stanford.edu/) is a free, open source ontology and knowledge base framework editor. The platform supports Protégé supports two main ways of modeling ontologies via the Protégé -Frames and Protégé-OWL editors. Protégé ontologies can be exported in a variety of formats including RDF (S) , OWL, and XML Schema. Protégé is based on Java, is extensible, and provides a plug -and-play environment which makes it a flexible base for rapid prototyping and application development.

4.4 Evaluation of ontology

We use the inference engine RACER [9] to test the ontology. It is designed to reason about logical descriptions and accepts as input an OWL file. The main services offered by RACER are: test consistency (satisfiability, consistency) and test classification (subsumption).

4.4.1 Consistency checking

The test of consistency provided by RACER is performed based on the description of classes (conditions). It ensures that no class definition is inconsistent with another (non-existence of conflicting classes) i.e. to verify that for each class, there must be at least one individual member this class. A class is deemed inconsistent if it cannot have any instance. The result of this test, as shown in Figure 6

The classification test to check if a class is a subclass of another class or not. Once the classification test is performed on the class hierarchy containing the logical expressions, it is possible for the classifier to infer a new hierarchy «inferred ontology class hierarchy» which is a hierarchy where classes are classified according to the inference superclass / subclasses. The result of this test is shown graphically by Protégé-OWL.

4.4.3 OWL Test

Protégé-OWL provides a mechanism to execute a configurable list of tests on the ontology we are currently editing. These tests are available through the menu <OWL->Run Ontology tests -&gt;Test Strings>>. They are used mainly to verify the conditions specified in the ontology. After checking the test result is no error. Fig 7 presents the classification test results.

5. EXPERIMENT RESULT

To validate our ontology, in practice, we can test the search phrases expressions to show the ability to respond to user queries on a semantic level, we take a simple example : the list of users with their first and last name . It is uses the language SPARQL [10] recommended by the W3C to write the structure of the query, implementation is done under the SPARQL Query panel in PROTEGE . Running the query produces results with the specific name of the Person and username of the Person. Fig. 8 presents the description of query and their execution result.

6. RELATED WORK

There is many efforts to create ontologies on social networks. The Friend- of-a -Friend project was launched by Dan Brickley and Libby Miller in 2000 and defines a vocabulary widely used to describe the people and the relationships between them , as well as things they create and do. [1] [Social Semantic Web, 2009]. It allows people to create web pages readable machine for individuals, groups, organizations and other related concepts. FOAF can be integrated with other semantic web vocabularies such as SIOC, SKOS, etc... Other project SIOC (Semantically - Interlinked Online Communities ) allows developers to bind

Fig 7: The test of consistency results

Fig 9: Result of query in SPARQL Query panel in Protégé
chat messages and content elements to further discussion and related items, people (by their accounts associated users), and subjects (using 'tags' specific, hierarchical categories or concepts represented by URIs). The SIOC initiative aims to connect the content of the online community linked from platforms such as blogs, forums, and other social sites, providing a lightweight ontology for describing the structure and activities in online communities and that provide a complete food chain for these data.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, presents a case of actual use of Semantic Web technologies. In this work it is conducted a Web ontology specifically social networking field, and have implemented a Java platform based on this ontology. This ontology can be easily integrated into a web platform that presents so well organized with an acceptable level of semantic social network. Completion of this work was to a very considerable importance, because it has allowed us to follow a methodology working well studied, deepen our knowledge in the world of ontology development and well we perform on the semantic Web technologies. Our application could be enhanced by advanced features such as integration of new tasks as needed domain features (general education, business, job, organization, etc...), and why not make interoperable application with several platforms.

The most important point is to remember: there is no single correct reference ontology for a specific domain. The design of ontologies is a creative process and it cannot be identical ontologies made by different people. Potential of an ontology and understanding designer applications, as well as view it in the subject matter, undoubtedly affect the design choices of the ontology. "It is the use that we judge" - we can test the quality of our ontology only using it in applications for which it was designed.
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